

Comparative Data on Alabama Counties: Part I

Published by the

Association of County Commissions of Alabama

2 North Jackson Street Montgomery, Alabama 36104 334-263-7594 www.alabamacounties.org

67 Counties, One Voice

Association of County Commissions of Alabama's 2022-23 Board of Directors

OFFICERS

Hon. Jay Thompson Autauga County President

Hon. Joe Knight Jefferson County President-Elect

Hon. Lee Patterson Calhoun County **Vice President**

DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVES

Hon. Joe Hackworth District 1

Lauderdale County

Hon. Steve HarawayDistrict 2Madison County

Hon. Tim Burgess District 3 Che

Cherokee County

Hon. Collin Daly District 4

Limestone County

Hon. Sheila TysonDistrict 5Jefferson County

Hon. Donald Anderson District 6 Hale County Hon. Allen Armstrong District 7 Blount County

Hon. Sam Bradford District 8

Chambers County

Hon. Bart MercerDistrict 9Elmore County

Hon. Leonard Millender District 10 Conecuh County

Hon. Greg White District 11

Hon. Connie Hudson District 12

Mobile County

Covington County

Hon. Curtis Harvey Minority Director

Houston County

AFFILIATE REPRESENTATIVES

Stephanie Brown Conecuh County President, ACAA

Justin Hardee Lee County President, ACEA

PREFACE

The <u>Comparative Data on Alabama Counties: Part 1</u> report is based primarily on responses to a survey conducted in 2022 by the Association of County Commissions of Alabama. This publication represents the actual responses of the counties. It offers an overview of county government in Alabama and how the 67 counties compare in a host of important areas. This report will serve as the first in a series of comparative data documents to come.

As with any survey publication, the accuracy of the information cannot be certified by the Association of County Commissions of Alabama. The responses in this publication are presented as recorded in the survey. Additionally, the information contained herein may have changed between the time the survey was conducted and published. Moreover, the contents of this publication are organized in chart form so that comparisons can be made easily and quickly. A key has been introduced at the bottom of charts where an array of responses was available to provide additional clarity and improve readability.

Every effort was made to obtain all information from every county. However, some counties chose not to respond to the survey. As a result, readers will notice instances where no information appears beside a county name.

As plans are made to release the next <u>Comparative Data on Alabama Counties</u> report, suggestions and comments are welcome and should be directed to <u>staff@alabamacounties.org</u>.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PUBLIC SAFETY:

AMBULANCE SERVICES	6
HOSPITALS	10
NURSING HOMES	13
BUILDING CODES	15
PUBLIC SERVICE:	
CABLE TELEVISION	18
WATER SYSTEMS	20
PARKS & RECREATION	22
INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT	24
SOLID WASTE	27
BURIALS	36
COUNTY JAILS:	
JAIL & CORRECTIONS	39
PUBLIC RELATIONS:	
SOCIAL MEDIA	44
ANIMAL CONTROL:	
LEASH LAWS	47
PERSONNEL:	
COUNTY WORKERS	51
SCHOOL RESOURCE OFFICERS	54
COMMUNITY HEALTH	60
MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION:	
SIMPLIFIED SELLERS USE TAX	64
LIMITED SELF GOVERNANCE	66

PUBLIC SAFETY

COUNTY	SERVICES PROVIDED HOW	OTHER	
Autauga	С	-	
Baldwin	С	Private ambulance companies provide service.	
Barbour	F	-	
Bibb	-	-	
Blount	-	-	
Bullock	-	-	
Butler	G	-	
Calhoun	С	-	
Chambers	E	-	
Cherokee	С	County 911 Board contracts for service.	
Chilton	С	Private service with two municipalities that fund the own (Jemison & Clanton).	
Choctaw	В	Choctaw County EMS operates ambulance servic with a 1 cent sales tax.	
Clarke	С	Privately owned ambulance service. No appropriati made from the County.	
Clay	F	-	
Cleburne	-	-	
Coffee	-	-	
Colbert	-	-	
Conecuh	С	-	
Coosa	Α	-	
Covington	-	E-911 contracts for rescue services.	
Crenshaw	F	Not truly volunteer. The County has no funding of rescue squads other than a sales tax earmarked to divided between all the VFD and Rescue squads	
Dale	F	-	
Dallas	-	-	
DeKalb	В	-	
Elmore	-	County has a contract with a private provider, whic has required no appropriation/supplement to date	
Escambia	G	County Health Care authority operates service.	

B: County operates county owned service.

C: County makes appropriation to a private service.

D: Private service operates in county without county participation.E: County contracts with a municipality to provide services in unincorporated areas.

F: Volunteer rescue squads provide services.

	AMBULANCE SERVICES			
COUNTY	SERVICES PROVIDED HOW	OTHER		
Etowah	A, F	Some volunteer rescue squads provide ambulance services, but they don't transport. All cities and volunteer organizations notify 911 as to what provider they'll be using. The County doesn't provide or fund any ambulance services.		
Fayette	С	-		
Franklin	С	Contract with private service at no cost to County.		
Geneva	E	The municipalities in the County fund ambulance services which also provide services in the unincorporated areas of the County.		
Greene	-	The County gives ad valorem tax to the Ambulance Service.		
Hale	-	-		
Henry	F	-		
Houston	F	In addition to Commission appropriations, there has been a recent local law passed that provides an additional tag fee that is forwarded to the EMS Association to be further distributed to various eligible rescue squads.		
Jackson	G	TVA ILOT goes to Ambulance Service run by the Hospital. County does not provide any funds currently other than this.		
Jefferson	-	Services provided via private ambulance companies, municipal fire departments, and county fire districts/volunteer fire departments.		
Lamar	С	Privately-owned ambulance service.		
Lauderdale	D	County and city use a private company with no appropriation given.		
Lawrence	D	-		
Lee	С	-		
Limestone	-	-		
Lowndes	-	-		
Macon	-	-		
Marengo	-	-		

B: County operates county owned service.

C: County makes appropriation to a private service. D: Private service operates in county without county participation.

E: County contracts with a municipality to provide services in unincorporated areas.

F: Volunteer rescue squads provide services.

COUNTY	SERVICES PROVIDED HOW	CE SERVICES
Marion	D	-
Marshall	G	Hospital systems provide ambulance services. We have a 4-mill county tax for hospitals that is distribute directly to the hospital board.
Mobile	-	Mobile County contracts with a non-profit rescue squad (It's a County quasi-ambulance service).
Monroe	D	-
Montgomery	-	County previously contracted with an ambulance company to provide services at a set fee. The Count did not pay any supplement to the company. There i not any agreement at the present time.
Morgan	A	-
Perry	-	-
Pickens	С	NorthStar provides service.
Pike	-	We do not provide ambulance services in the County Our E911 district does, however, work with provider to have a presence within the County. We are currently in the process of purchasing 2 ambulances to lease out to a city in the county that they will run throughout the entire county.
Randolph	D	3 privately owned ambulance companies contract wind the E-911 board and local hospital.
Russell	С	Private company. Bid 3-year contract. County provide building for their use.
St. Clair	С	Contracted with Regional Paramedical Services, Inc
Shelby	D, E	Mix of city, districts, and "volunteer" operations that are actually paid and professionally trained. All thes get county stipend. Other areas covered by private service do not.

B: County operates county owned service.

C: County makes appropriation to a private service.

D: Private service operates in county without county participation.

E: County contracts with a municipality to provide services in unincorporated areas.

F: Volunteer rescue squads provide services.

AMBULANCE SERVICES			
COUNTY	SERVICES PROVIDED HOW	OTHER	
Sumter	-	-	
Talladega	-	-	
Tallapoosa	А	-	
Tuscaloosa	-	Contract	
Walker	-	-	
Washington	-	-	
Wilcox	С	Ambulance services provided by MEDCARE EMS, Inc.	
Winston	С	Private company is approved to operate within the county.	

B: County operates county owned service.

C: County makes appropriation to a private service.

D: Private service operates in county without county participation.

E: County contracts with a municipality to provide services in unincorporated areas.

F: Volunteer rescue squads provide services.

		HOSPITALS		
COUNTY	OWN/PROVIDE FUNDING FOR HOSPITALS	NUMBER FUNDED	FUNDING FROM COUNTY	CREATED OR ORGANIZED BY
Autauga	No	-	-	-
Baldwin	No	-	-	-
Barbour	No	-	-	-
Bibb	-	-	-	-
Blount	-	-	-	-
Bullock	-	-	-	-
Butler	No	-	-	-
Calhoun	No	-	-	-
Chambers	No	-	-	-
Cherokee	No	-	-	-
Chilton	No	-	-	-
Choctaw	No	-	-	-
Clarke	No	-	-	-
Clay	No	-	-	-
Cleburne	-	-	-	-
Coffee	-	-	-	-
Colbert	-	-	-	-
Conecuh	No	-	-	-
Coosa	No	-	-	-
Covington	No	-	-	-
Crenshaw	No	-	-	-
Cullman	No	-	-	-
Dale	No	-	-	-
Dallas	-	-	-	-
DeKalb	No	-	-	-
Elmore	No	-	-	-
Escambia	No	-	-	Health Care Authority owns and operates two hospitals and receives 4 mills of ac valorem tax.
Etowah	No	-	-	-
Fayette	Yes	1	\$100,000	DCH/Fayette Hospital Board
Franklin	No	-	-	-
Geneva	No	-	-	-
Greene	-	-	-	-
Hale	-	-	-	-
Henry	No	-	-	-

	HOSPITALS			
COUNTY	OWN/PROVIDE FUNDING FOR HOSPITALS	NUMBER FUNDED	FUNDING FROM COUNTY	CREATED OR ORGANIZED BY
Houston	No	-	The Houston County Healthcare Authority receives a portion of the ad valorum taxes collected by the Revenue Commissioner.	
Jackson	No	-	-	-
Jefferson	No	-	-	-
Lamar	No	-	-	-
Lauderdale	No	-	-	-
Lawrence	Yes	1	Local law \$700,000 - \$800,000 of ad valorem tax to local hospital per year per local law	Hospital authority. It is a part of the Huntsville Medical Group.
Lee	No	-	-	-
Limestone	-	-	-	-
Lowndes	-	-	-	-
Macon	-	-	-	-
Marengo	-	-	-	-
Marion	No	-	-	-
Marshall	No	-	-	-
Mobile	No	-	-	-
Monroe	No	-	-	-
Montgomery	No	-	-	-
Morgan	No	-	-	-
Perry	-	-	-	-
Pickens	No	-	-	-
Pike	No	-	-	-
Randolph	No	-	-	Healthcare Authority
Russell	No	-	-	-
St. Clair	No	-	-	-
Shelby	No	-	-	-
Sumter	-	-	-	-
Talladega	-	-	-	-
Tallapoosa	No	-	-	-
Tuscaloosa	No	-	-	-
Walker	_	-	-	-

	HOSPITALS			
COUNTY	OWN/PROVIDE FUNDING FOR HOSPITALS	NUMBER FUNDED	FUNDING FROM COUNTY	CREATED OR ORGANIZED BY
Washington	-	-	-	-
Wilcox	No	-	-	-
Winston	Yes	-	\$747,000	Hospital Authority

	Ν	URSING HOMES		
COUNTY	OWN/PROVIDE FUNDING FOR NURSING HOMES	NUMBER FUNDED	FUNDING FROM COUNTY	CREATED OR ORGANIZED BY
Autauga	No	-	-	-
Baldwin	No	-	-	-
Barbour	No	-	-	-
Bibb	-	-	-	-
Blount	-	-	-	-
Bullock	-	-	-	-
Butler	No	-	-	-
Calhoun	No	-	-	-
Chambers	No	-	-	-
Cherokee	No	-	-	-
Chilton	No	-	-	-
Choctaw	No	-	-	-
Clarke	No	-	-	-
Clay	-	-	-	-
Cleburne	-	-	-	-
Coffee	-	-	-	-
Colbert	-	-	-	-
Conecuh	No	-	-	-
Coosa	No	-	-	-
Covington	No	-	-	-
Crenshaw	No	-	-	-
Cullman	No	-	-	-
Dale	No	-	-	-
Dallas	-	-	-	-
DeKalb	No	-	-	-
Elmore	No	-	-	-
Escambia	No	-	-	-
Etowah	No	-	-	-
Fayette	No	-	-	-
Franklin	No	-	-	-
Geneva	-	-	-	-
Greene	-	-	-	-
Hale	-	-	-	-
Henry	Yes	1	-	-
Houston	No	-	-	-
Jackson	No	-	-	-
Jefferson	No	-	-	-
Lamar	No	-	-	-
Lauderdale	No	-	-	-
Lawrence	No	-	-	-
Lee	No	-	-	_
Limestone	-	-	-	-

	NURSING HOMES			
COUNTY	OWN/PROVIDE FUNDING FOR NURSING HOMES	NUMBER FUNDED	FUNDING FROM COUNTY	CREATED OR ORGANIZED BY
Lowndes	-	-	-	-
Macon	-	-	-	-
Marengo	-	-	-	-
Marion	No	-	-	-
Marshall	No	-	-	-
Mobile	No	-	-	-
Monroe	No	-	-	-
Montgomery	No	-	-	-
Morgan	No	-	-	-
Perry	-	-	-	-
Pickens	No	-	-	-
Pike	No	-	-	-
Randolph	No	-	-	-
Russell	No	-	-	-
St. Clair	No	-	-	-
Shelby	No	-	-	-
Sumter	-	-	-	-
Talladega	-	-	-	-
Tallapoosa	No	-	-	-
Tuscaloosa	No	-	-	-
Walker	-	-	-	-
Washington	-	-	-	-
Wilcox	No	-	-	-
Winston	No	-	-	-

	BUILDING CODES				
COUNTY	SUBDIVISION REGULATIONS	BUILDING CODES IN UNINCORPORATED AREAS	INSPECTION FEE		
Autauga	Yes	No	-		
Baldwin	Yes	Yes	We charge for building permits. A 2,000 sq. ft. house is around \$450, and the 3 skilled trades are \$110 each.		
Barbour	Yes	No	-		
Bibb	-	-	-		
Blount	-	-	-		
Bullock	-	-	-		
Butler	No	No	-		
Calhoun	Yes	No	-		
Chambers	Yes	No	-		
Cherokee	Yes	No	-		
Chilton	Yes	No	-		
Choctaw	No	No	-		
Clarke	No	No	-		
Clay	Yes	No	-		
Cleburne	-	-	-		
Coffee	-	-	-		
Colbert	-	-	-		
Conecuh	No	No	-		
Coosa	No	No	-		
Covington	Yes	No	-		
Crenshaw	No		-		
Cullman	Yes	No	-		
Dale	Yes	No	-		
Dallas	-	-	-		
DeKalb	Yes	No	-		
Elmore	Yes	No	-		
Escambia	Yes	No	-		
Etowah	Yes	No	-		
Fayette	No	No	-		
Franklin	Yes	No	-		
Geneva	-	-	-		
Greene	_	-	-		
Hale	_	-	-		
Henry	No	No	-		
Houston	Yes	No	_		
Jackson	No	No	_		
Jefferson	Yes	Yes, we use the 2018 IBC.	No inspection fee, permit fee is charged.		
Lamar	No	No	-		

	BUILDING CODES			
COUNTY	SUBDIVISION REGULATIONS	BUILDING CODES IN UNINCORPORATED AREAS	INSPECTION FEE	
Lauderdale	Yes	No	-	
Lawrence	No	No	-	
Lee	Yes	Yes	Initial inspection and reinspection included in permit cost; third inspection \$25; each additional inspection after \$100.	
Limestone	-	-	-	
Lowndes	-	-	-	
Macon	-	-	-	
Marengo	-	-	-	
Marion	No	No	-	
Marshall	Yes	No	-	
Mobile	Yes	Yes	\$.42/sq. ft.	
Monroe	No	No	-	
Montgomery	No	No	-	
Morgan	-	No	-	
Perry	-	-	-	
Pickens	Yes	No	-	
Pike	Yes	No	-	
Randolph	Yes	No	-	
Russell	Yes	Yes	No	
St. Clair	No	No	-	
Shelby	Yes	Yes	Based on value of home.	
Sumter	-	-	-	
Talladega	-	-	-	
Tallapoosa	Yes	Yes	-	
Tuscaloosa	Yes	No	-	
Walker	-	-	-	
Washington	-	-	-	
Wilcox	Yes	No	-	
Winston	Yes	No	-	

PUBLIC SERVICE

	CABLE TELEVISION				
COUNTY	CABLE TV FRANCHISE IN UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CABLE COMPANY FRANCHISE FEE	FRANCHISE FEE RATE	PROJECTED FRANCHISE FEE REVENUE FY22	
Autauga	Yes	Yes	5%	\$90,000	
Baldwin	Yes	Yes	5%	\$260,000	
Barbour	-	No		\$800	
Bibb	-	-	-	-	
Blount	-	-	-	-	
Bullock	-	-	-	-	
Butler	No	No	-	-	
Calhoun	Yes	-	5%	\$134,000	
Chambers	Yes	No	-	\$124,000	
Cherokee	Yes	Yes	5%	-	
Chilton	Yes	Yes	-	\$22,375	
Choctaw	No	No	-	-	
Clarke	No	No	-	-	
Clay	-	-	-	-	
Cleburne	-	-	-	-	
Coffee	-	-	-	-	
Colbert	-	-	-	-	
Conecuh	No	No	-	-	
Coosa	No	No	-	-	
Covington	Yes	Yes	3% gross revenue	\$22,000	
Crenshaw	Yes	They pay an agreed upon franchise fee annually.	-	\$30,000	
Cullman	Yes	Yes	3%	\$200,000	
Dale	Yes	Yes	-	\$32,000	
Dallas	-	-	-	-	
DeKalb	No	Yes	-	\$20,000	
Elmore	Yes	Yes	-	\$470,000	
Escambia	Yes	Yes	5%	\$1,000	
Etowah	Yes	Yes	5%	\$235,427.93	
Fayette	No	No	-	-	
Franklin	Yes	-	-	\$22,000	
Geneva	-	-	-	-	
Greene	-	-	-	-	
Hale	-	-	-	_	
Henry	No	No	-	-	
Houston	Yes	Yes	-	\$45,000	
Jackson	Yes	Yes	_	\$40,000	
Jefferson	-	-	_	-	
Lamar	Yes	Yes	3%	\$400	
Lauderdale	Yes	Yes	5%	\$50,000	

	CABLE TELEVISION				
COUNTY	CABLE TV FRANCHISE IN UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CABLE COMPANY FRANCHISE FEE	FRANCHISE FEE RATE	PROJECTED FRANCHISE FEE REVENUE FY22	
Lawrence	Yes	-	-	-	
Lee	Yes	Yes	3%	\$211,974	
Limestone	-	-	-	-	
Lowndes	-	-	-	-	
Macon	-	-	-	-	
Marengo	-	-	-	-	
Marion	No	No	-	-	
Marshall	Yes	Yes	-	-	
Mobile	Yes	5%	-	-	
Monroe	No	Receive from company	-	-	
Montgomery	Yes	No	-	-	
Morgan	Yes	-	-	-	
Perry	-	-	-	-	
Pickens	Yes	Yes	3%	\$2,000	
Pike	Yes	Yes	5%	\$50,000	
Randolph	No	No	-	-	
Russell	Yes	Yes	3% County/1.5% PJ	\$70,000	
St. Clair	No	Amount equal to 3% is annual gross revenue or other amounts allowable by federal law.	-	\$70,000	
Shelby	Yes	No	-	-	
Sumter	-	-	-	-	
Talladega	-	-	-	-	
Tallapoosa	Yes	Yes	Percentage of bill	\$220,000	
Tuscaloosa	Yes	Yes	-	\$475,000	
Walker	-	-	-	-	
Washington	-	-	-	-	
Wilcox	No	No	-	-	
Winston	No	No	-	-	

	v	VATER SYSTEMS		
COUNTY	RURAL WATER AUTHORITY	NUMBER OF RURAL WATER AUTHORITIES	COUNTY OWNED WATER SYSTEM	COUNTY OWNED WATER SYSTEM SELF-SUFFICIENT
Autauga	Yes	3	No	-
Baldwin	No	-	-	-
Barbour	Yes	4	No	-
Bibb	-	-	-	-
Blount	-	-	-	-
Bullock	-	-	-	-
Butler	Yes	1	No	-
Calhoun	Yes	1	No	-
Chambers	No		No	-
Cherokee	Yes	2; One is NE AL Water (DeKalb), another is Cherokee County Water (Cherokee).	No	-
Chilton	Yes	1	No	-
Choctaw	Yes	4	No	-
Clarke	No	10	No	-
Clay	Yes	4	No	-
Cleburne	-	-	-	-
Coffee	-	-	-	-
Colbert	-	-	-	-
Conecuh	Yes	6	No	-
Coosa	Yes	1	Yes	-
Covington	Yes	1	No	-
Crenshaw	Yes	2	No	-
Cullman	Yes	4	Yes	Yes
Dale	Yes	1	No	No
Dallas	-	-	-	-
DeKalb	Yes	1	No	-
Elmore	Yes	8	No	-
Escambia	Yes		No	-
Etowah	Yes	7	No	No
Fayette	Yes	1	No	No
Franklin	Yes	1	No	-
Geneva	-	-	-	-
Greene	-	-	-	-
Hale	-	-	-	-
Henry	Yes	2	No	-
Houston	Yes	1	No	-
Jackson	Yes	3	No	-

	V	VATER SYSTEMS		
COUNTY	RURAL WATER AUTHORITY	NUMBER OF RURAL WATER AUTHORITIES		COUNTY OWNED WATER SYSTEM SELF-SUFFICIENT
Jefferson	No	No	No, there are eight municipal or independent board- operated water systems in the county.	_
Lamar	No		No	No
Lauderdale	Yes	2	No	No
Lawrence	Yes	2	No	-
Lee	Yes	4	No	-
Limestone	-	-	-	-
Lowndes	-	-	-	-
Macon	-	-	-	-
Marengo	-	-	-	-
Marion	Yes	1	No	-
Marshall	Yes	-	No	-
Mobile	Yes	6	No	-
Monroe	Yes	7+	No	-
Montgomery	Yes	5	No	-
Morgan	Yes	2	No	-
Perry	-	-	-	-
Pickens	Yes	1	-	-
Pike	Yes	3	No	-
Randolph	Yes	1	No	No
Russell	Yes	1	Yes	Yes
St. Clair	Yes	9	Yes	No
Shelby	Yes	5	Yes	Yes
Sumter	-	-	-	-
Talladega	-	-	-	-
Tallapoosa	Yes	5 unincorporated and 3 run by small municipalities.	No	-
Tuscaloosa	Yes	-	No	No
Walker	-	-	-	-
Washington	-	-	-	-
Wilcox	Yes	4	No	-
Winston	No	-	No	-

	PARKS & RECREATION				
COUNTY	COUNTY PARKS & RECREATION BOARD				
Autauga	Yes				
Baldwin	No				
Barbour	No				
Bibb	-				
Blount	-				
Bullock	-				
Butler	No				
Calhoun	No				
Chambers	No				
Cherokee	Yes				
Chilton	No				
Choctaw	Yes				
Clarke	Yes				
Clay	Yes				
Cleburne	-				
Coffee	-				
Colbert	-				
Conecuh	No				
Coosa	No				
Covington	Yes				
Crenshaw	No				
Cullman	No				
Dale	No				
Dallas	-				
DeKalb	Yes				
Elmore	No				
Escambia	No				
Etowah	No				
Fayette	No				
Franklin	No				
Geneva	-				
Greene	Yes				
Hale	-				
Henry	Yes				
Houston	No				
Jackson	Yes				
Jefferson	-				
Lamar	No				
Lauderdale	Yes				

	PARKS & RECREATION				
COUNTY	COUNTY PARKS & RECREATION BOARD				
Lawrence	No				
Lee	No				
Limestone	-				
Lowndes	-				
Macon	-				
Marengo	-				
Marion	No				
Marshall	No				
Mobile	No				
Monroe	No				
Montgomery	Yes				
Morgan	No				
Perry	-				
Pickens	No				
Pike	No				
Randolph	No				
Russell	No				
St. Clair	Yes				
Shelby	Yes				
Sumter	-				
Talladega	-				
Tallapoosa	No				
Tuscaloosa	Yes				
Walker	-				
Washington	-				
Wilcox	No				
Winston	No				

	INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT				
COUNTY	COUNTY INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT BOARD	CITY/COUNTY INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY	FUNDING FOR INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY	ANNUAL SPENDING FOR INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY	
Autauga	Yes	Yes	No	-	
Baldwin	Yes	No	No	We provide \$400,000 for an economic development alliance.	
Barbour	Yes	No	No	-	
Bibb	-	-	-	-	
Blount	-	-	-	-	
Bullock	-	-	-	-	
Butler	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$50,000	
Calhoun	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$1,100,000	
Chambers	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$63,000	
Cherokee	Yes	No	No. The county receives PILT from TVA and a portion of those funds are earmarked for the county's IDA.	-	
Chilton	Yes	Yes	Yes	Suspended	
Choctaw	No	No	No	-	
Clarke	Yes	Yes	No	-	
Clay	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$50,000	
Cleburne	-	-	-	-	
Coffee	-	-	-	-	
Colbert	-	-	-	-	
Conecuh	Yes	Yes	No	-	
Coosa	Yes	Yes	No	-	
Covington	Yes	Yes	Yes	Varies \$15,000 for 2022 with possibility of more based on project bought in.	
Crenshaw	Yes	No	Yes	% of an earmarked sales tax for industrial development. This year was \$195,000.	
Cullman	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$100,000	
Dale	Yes	Yes	Yes	-	

	INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT				
COUNTY	COUNTY INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT BOARD	CITY/COUNTY INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY	FUNDING FOR INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY	ANNUAL SPENDING FOR INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY	
Dallas	_	-	-	-	
DeKalb	No	No	No	-	
Elmore	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$150,000+	
Escambia	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$100,000	
Etowah	No	Yes	No	Effective January 1, 2023, the County is contracting with the Gadsden-Etowah Industrial Development Authority for service at an annual cost of \$150,000.	
Fayette	No	Yes	No	-	
Franklin	Yes	Yes	No	-	
Geneva	-	-	-	-	
Greene	-	-	-	-	
Hale	_	-	-	-	
Henry	No	Yes	Yes	\$25,000	
Houston	Yes	Yes	No	-	
Jackson	No	Yes	No	-	
Jefferson	_	-	-	-	
Lamar	No	No	No	-	
Lauderdale	No	Yes	No	-	
Lawrence	Yes	No	Yes	\$150,000 per year from TVA in lieu	
Lee	Yes	No	No	-	
Limestone	-	-	-	-	
Lowndes	_	-	-	-	
Macon	_	-	-	-	
Marengo	_	-	-	-	
Marion	No	No	No	-	
Marshall	Yes	No	Yes	In FY2022, we provided \$247,000 based upon local act for distribution of TVA monies.	
Mobile	Yes	No	Yes	\$1,016,660	
Monroe	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$171,500	

INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT				
COUNTY	COUNTY INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT BOARD	CITY/COUNTY INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY	FUNDING FOR INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY	ANNUAL SPENDING FOR INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
Montgomery	Yes	No	No	-
Morgan	Yes	Yes	Yes	180,000
Perry	-	-	-	-
Pickens	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$40,000
Pike	No	Yes	Yes	\$25,000
Randolph	No	Yes	Yes	\$5,000
Russell	No	No	No	-
St. Clair	Yes	Yes	No	-
Shelby	Yes	No	Yes	\$450,000
Sumter	-	-	-	-
Talladega	-	-	-	-
Tallapoosa	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$75,000 in direct appropriations
Tuscaloosa	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$440,400
Walker	-	-	-	-
Washington	-	-	-	-
Wilcox	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$50,000
Winston	No	Yes	Yes	\$65,000

			TYPE OF	CURRENT
COUNTY	SERVICES PROVIDED HOW	COLLECTION METHOD	CONTAINERS	MONTHLY CHARGE
Autauga	С	Curbside pickup or door-to-door	В	Residential: \$23.2
Baldwin	В	Curbside pickup or door-to-door	А	Residential: \$16
Barbour	С	Curbside pickup or door-to-door	А	Residential: \$29
Bibb	-	-	-	-
Blount	-	-	-	-
Bullock		-	-	-
Butler	Α	No service	A	-
Calhoun	С	Curbside pickup or door-to-door	А	Residential: \$60.4
Chambers	D	Curbside pickup or door-to-door	А	Residential: \$78.12/quarter
Cherokee	С	Curbside pickup or door-to-door	А	Residential: \$22.3
Chilton	E	Small business owners collect throughout	В	Suspended
Choctaw	С	Curbside pickup or door-to-door	A	Residential: \$11.3
Clay	С	Curbside pickup or door-to-door	В	Residential: \$17
Cleburne	-	-	-	-
Coffee	-	-	-	-
Colbert	-	-	-	-
Conecuh	А	Private service	-	-
Coosa	С	Curbside pickup or door-to-door	А	Residential: \$25 Commercial: \$100
Covington	С	Curbside pickup or door-to-door	А	Residential: \$65.85/quarter
Crenshaw	D	Curbside pickup or door-to-door	А	Residential: Approximately \$2
Cullman	В	Curbside pickup or door-to-door	А	Residential: \$15.0
B: County em C: County cor D: A single co	aste collection service exists in the ployees collect solid waste with countracts with a private company/indiv ompany collects solid waste. mpanies collect solid waste.	unty-owned equipment.	A: Roll out containe B: Cans C: Plastic bags	ers

	S	SOLID WASTE		
COUNTY	SERVICES PROVIDED HOW	COLLECTION METHOD	TYPE OF CONTAINERS USED	CURRENT MONTHLY CHARGE
Dale	С	Curbside pickup or door-to-door	А	Residential: \$18
Dallas		-	-	-
DeKalb	С	Curbside pickup or door-to-door	А	Residential: \$19.67 Commercial: varies
Elmore	С	Curbside pickup or door-to-door	А	Residential: \$23.87 Commercial: No
Escambia	D	Curbside pickup or door-to-door	A	Residential: \$18 Commercial: varies
Etowah	E	Curbside pickup or door-to-door	А	Residential: \$14.49 Commercial: varies
Fayette	А	Curbside pickup or door-to-door	А	Residential: \$25
Franklin	C	Curbside pickup or door-to-door	В	Residential: \$15 Commercial: \$15
Geneva	-	Curbside pickup or door-to-door	В	Residential: \$12
Greene	В	Curbside pickup or door-to-door	А	Residential: \$17.90
Hale	-	-	-	-
Henry	В	Curbside pickup or door-to-door	В	Residential: \$15
Houston	В	Curbside pickup or door-to-door	A	Residential: \$15
Jackson	В	Curbside pickup or door-to-door	В	Residential: \$14.75
Jefferson	F	Curbside pickup or door-to-door	А	Residential: \$26
Lamar	C	Curbside pickup or door-to-door	А	Residential: \$18
Lauderdale	В	Curbside pickup or door-to-door	А	Residential: \$17 Commercial: \$17
B: County em C: County con D: A single co E: Multiple con F: Municipaliti	aste collection service exists in the c ployees collect solid waste with cou atracts with a private company/indivi mpany collects solid waste. mpanies collect solid waste. es provide city operated or contract nal contracted residential service.	county. nty-owned equipment. dual for collection.	A: Roll out containe B: Cans C: Plastic bags	

	SOLID WASTE					
COUNTY	SERVICES PROVIDED HOW	COLLECTION METHOD	TYPE OF CONTAINERS USED	CURRENT MONTHLY CHARGE		
Lawrence	В	Curbside pickup or door-to-door	A	Residential: \$17 Commercial: 4 YD: \$120 8 YD: \$220 10YD: \$265 15 YD: \$300 30YD: \$350		
Lee	С	Curbside pickup or door-to-door	А	Residential: \$18.50		
Limestone	-	-	-	-		
Lowndes	-	-	-	-		
Macon		-	-	-		
Marengo	-	-	-	-		
Marion	В	Curbside pickup or door-to-door	А	Residential: \$17.50 Commercial: \$27.25		
Marshall	С	Curbside pickup or door-to-door	В	Residential: \$15.75 Commercial: \$35		
Mobile	E	Curbside pickup or door-to-door	А	-		
Monroe	С	Curbside pickup or door-to-door	А	-		
Montgomery	С	Curbside pickup or door-to-door; a dumpster is provided in one part of the county	A	-		
Morgan	В	Curbside pickup or door-to-door	А	-		
Perry	-	-	-	-		
Pickens	С	Curbside pickup or door-to-door	С	Residential: \$21.47		
Pike	C	Curbside pickup or door-to-door	А	Residential: \$21.50		
Randolph	С	Curbside pickup or door-to-door	А	Residential: \$20.33 Commercial: \$65		
B: County emp C: County con D: A single co E: Multiple cor F: Municipalitio	aste collection service exists in the colloyees collect solid waste with countracts with a private company/indivise mpany collects solid waste. mpanies collect solid waste. es provide city operated or contract nal contracted residential service.	ounty. nty-owned equipment. dual for collection.	A: Roll out containe B: Cans C: Plastic bags			

	S	OLID WASTE		
COUNTY	SERVICES PROVIDED HOW	COLLECTION METHOD	TYPE OF CONTAINERS USED	CURRENT MONTHLY CHARGE
Russell	В	Curbside pickup or door-to-door	A	Residential: \$20 base Commercial: \$37.50 base
St. Clair	С	Curbside pickup or door-to-door	A	Residential: \$52 county contract is residential only
Shelby	С	Curbside pickup or door-to-door	A	Residential: \$20.96
Sumter	-	-	-	-
Talladega	-	-	-	-
Tallapoosa	D	Curbside pickup or door-to-door	В	Residential: Approximately \$240/year
Tuscaloosa	С	Curbside pickup or door-to-door	A	Residential: \$13.10
Walker	-	-	-	-
Washington	-	-	-	-
Wilcox	С	Curbside pickup or door-to-door	A	Residential: \$30 Commercial: \$193
Winston	В	Dumpster for commercial	В	Residential: \$14.75 Commercial: Private company
B: County emp C: County con D: A single con E: Multiple con F: Municipalitie	aste collection service exists in the c ployees collect solid waste with countracts with a private company/indivi- mpany collects solid waste. mpanies collect solid waste. es provide city operated or contract nal contracted residential service.	nty-owned equipment. dual for collection.	A: Roll out containe B: Cans C: Plastic bags	

		SOLID WAST	E	
COUNTY	MANDATORY SOLID WASTE PROGRAM	SOLID WASTE DISPOSED WHERE	SOLID WASTE OFFICER/COMPENSATION	PRIVATELY OWNED LANDFILL "TIPPING" OR "HOSTING" FEE
Autauga	Yes	D	Yes/\$50,000	No
Baldwin	Yes	A	Yes/\$125,000	-
Barbour	Yes	E	Yes/\$39,462	No
Bibb	-	-	-	-
Blount	-	-	-	-
Bullock	-	-	-	-
Butler	No	A	No	No
Calhoun	No	С	Yes/\$73,000	No
Chambers	Yes	E	No	No
Cherokee	Yes	С	Yes/\$150,000	-
Chilton	No	С	No	No
Choctaw	Yes	A	No	No
Clay	Yes	С	Yes/Added in with another job title.	No
Cleburne	-	-	-	-
Coffee	-	-	-	-
Colbert	-	-	-	-
Conecuh	No		No	No
Coosa	Yes	A	Yes/\$25,000	No
Covington	No	E	Yes/EMA Director receives additional \$5,000 added to their salary for added duties.	-
Crenshaw	Yes	E	Yes/\$1 household fee that ARROW collects and remits to county.	No
Cullman	Yes	С	Yes/\$29,500	No
Dale	Yes	Solid waste goes to Coffee County landfill	Yes/\$35,000	No
Dallas				

A: County owns and operates its own landfill. B: County contracts with a municipality to dispose in the municipality's landfill. C: County contracts with a privately-owned landfill. D: Contractor contracts with privately owned company to dispose waste.

E: Private company disposes solid waste.

	SOLID WASTE				
COUNTY	MANDATORY SOLID WASTE PROGRAM	SOLID WASTE DISPOSED WHERE	SOLID WASTE OFFICER/COMPENSATION	PRIVATELY OWNED LANDFILL "TIPPING" OR "HOSTING" FEE	
DeKalb	Yes	С	Yes/PT retired officer about \$30,000	\$350,000	
Elmore	No	F	No	-	
Escambia	Yes	С	Yes/\$34,000	Approx. \$200,000	
Etowah	No	E	-	Varies with tonnage (+/- \$1.25) and fuel prices.	
Fayette	Yes	С	No	-	
Franklin	Yes	А	Yes/\$37,800	No	
Geneva	Yes	G	Yes/\$45,427	-	
Greene	Yes	С	Yes/\$42,000	-	
Hale	-	-	-	-	
Henry	Yes	С	Yes/\$45,000	No	
Houston	Yes	С	No	No	
Jackson	Yes	В	Yes	-	
A: County owns and operates its own landfill					

A: County owns and operates its own landfill.

B: County contracts with a municipality to dispose in the municipality's landfill.

C: County contracts with a privately-owned landfill.

D: Contractor contracts with privately owned company to dispose waste.

E: Private company disposes solid waste.

F: County carries limited solid waste to a transfer station.

G: County participates in a solid waste authority. Waste is transferred from transfer station to a host landfill.

SOLID WASTE			
MANDATORY SOLID WASTE PROGRAM	SOLID WASTE DISPOSED WHERE	SOLID WASTE OFFICER/COMPENSATION	PRIVATELY OWNED LANDFILL "TIPPING" OR "HOSTING" FEE
No	Multiple county, municipal or private landfills located in county	Yes. The Employees Development Services department that are classified as zoning administrators, zoning supervisors, zoning inspectors, sanitation and ordinance inspectors, and senior sanitation and ordinance inspectors are designated as solid waste officers and authorized to exercise all power and authority afforded solid waste officers by the Code of Alabama. They receive salary associated with each of those classifications.	Yes, there is a privately owned landfill – but no fee provided to the County.
Yes	D	No	-
Yes	А	No	No
Yes	С	Yes and No. We do not employ an "official" solid waste officer. The Commission voted to appoint our solid waste director as "solid waste officer" a few years ago. She doesn't enforce in an official capacity. Her annual salary is \$64,896 for solid waste director.	Yes/\$330,000
	WASTE PROGRAM No Yes Yes	MANDATORY SOLID WASTE PROGRAMSOLID WASTE DISPOSED WHERENoMultiple county, municipal or private landfills located in countyYesDYesA	MANDATORY SOLID WASTE PROGRAMSOLID WASTE DISPOSED WHERESOLID WASTE OFFICER/COMPENSATIONNoYes. The Employees Development Services department that are classified as zoning supervisors, zoning inspectors, sanitation and ordinance inspectors, and senior sanitation and ordinance inspectors are designated as solid waste officers by the Code of Alabama. They receive salary associated with each of those classifications.YesDNoYesANoYesCYes and No. We do not employ an "official" solid waste officer. The Commission voted to appoint our solid waste officer. The Commission voted to appoint our solid waste direct or a solid waste director.

A: County owns and operates its own landfill.

B: County contracts with a municipality to dispose in the municipality's landfill.

C: County contracts with a privately-owned landfill.

D: Contractor contracts with privately owned company to dispose waste.

E: Private company disposes solid waste.

F: County carries limited solid waste to a transfer station.

G: County participates in a solid waste authority. Waste is transferred from transfer station to a host landfill.

SOLID WASTE				
COUNTY	MANDATORY SOLID WASTE PROGRAM	SOLID WASTE DISPOSED WHERE	SOLID WASTE OFFICER/COMPENSATION	PRIVATELY OWNED LANDFILL "TIPPING" OR "HOSTING" FEE
Lee	Yes	С	No	No
Limestone	-	-	-	-
Lowndes	-	-	-	-
Macon	-	-	-	-
Marengo	-	-	-	-
Marion	No	С	Yes/\$36,400	No
Marshall	Yes	E (At no cost to county)	Yes/\$46,196.80 Expense Allowance: \$720	No
Mobile	Yes	E	Yes/\$1,780	\$.50/ton
Monroe	Yes	Brewton, AL	No	-
Montgomery	-	Н	No	No
Morgan	Yes	В	Yes/\$57,000	-
Perry	-	-	-	-
Pickens	Yes		No	No
Pike	Yes	J	No	No
Randolph	No	K	No	No
Russell	Yes	С	No	No
St. Clair	No	D	No	\$120,000
Shelby	No	A	No	-
Sumter	-	-	-	-
Talladega	-	-	-	-
Tallapoosa	Yes	С	No	\$300,000
Tuscaloosa	Yes	G	Yes/\$70,878	No

A: County owns and operates its own landfill.

B: County contracts with a municipality to dispose in the municipality's landfill.

C: County contracts with a privately-owned landfill.

D: Contractor contracts with privately owned company to dispose waste.

E: Private company disposes solid waste.

F: County carries limited solid waste to a transfer station.

G: County participates in solid waste authority.

H: County participates in a solid waste authority. Waste is transferred from transfer station to a host landfill.

I: Contractor disposes of solid waste.

J: County leases transfer station to private company to transport.

K: Contracted County handles disposal.

L: Solid waste is disposed at the County Transfer Station.

SOLID WASTE				
COUNTY	MANDATORY SOLID WASTE PROGRAM	SOLID WASTE DISPOSED WHERE	SOLID WASTE OFFICER/COMPENSATION	PRIVATELY OWNED LANDFILL "TIPPING" OR "HOSTING" FEE
Walker	-	-	-	-
Washington	-	-	-	-
Wilcox	Yes	LDI collects the solid waste and disposal of the waste collected from residential and commercial. The county has its own landfill, but the only things collected there are scrap metal, household appliances and building materials.	Yes/\$31,782.4	No
Winston	Yes	C	\$40,976	-
A: County ov	vns and operates its own lan	dfill.		

B: County contracts with a municipality to dispose in the municipality's landfill.

C: County contracts with a privately-owned landfill.

D: Contractor contracts with privately owned company to dispose waste.

E: Private company disposes solid waste.

F: County carries limited solid waste to a transfer station.

G: County participates in solid waste authority.

H: County participates in a solid waste authority. Waste is transferred from transfer station to a host landfill.

I: Contractor disposes of solid waste.

J: County leases transfer station to private company to transport.

K: Contracted County handles disposal.

L: Solid waste is disposed at the County Transfer Station.

	BURIALS			
COUNTY	METHOD OF BURIAL FOR PAUPERS	PAUPER BURIALS FUNDED IN FY 2021		
Autauga	County contracts with funeral home for cremation cost when the need arises (about once every 3 years).	0		
Baldwin	County contracts with company for annual cost of \$1500 per burial.	8		
Barbour	County pays \$100 to the funeral home for handling of burial.	0		
Bibb	-	-		
Blount	-	-		
Bullock	-	-		
Butler	County pays \$250 for burial.	0		
Calhoun	County pays \$500 per cremation for indigents.	28		
Chambers	-	0		
Cherokee	We pay a company \$200 per event.	Yes		
Chilton	Appropriates \$1000 for indigent burial.	0		
Choctaw	-	-		
Clarke	County will pay \$500 toward burial costs directly to funeral home.	2		
Clay	-	-		
Cleburne	-	-		
Coffee	-	-		
Colbert	-	-		
Conecuh	County provides \$300 for indigent burials.	1		
Coosa	-	-		
Covington	-	-		
Crenshaw	We budget a couple thousand dollars each year for truly indigent death with no family members alive. Local funeral home agrees to accept approximately \$1000 each. Rarely used.	0		
Cullman	County charges \$800 per burial.	5		
Dale	Case by case basis; cremate	4		
Dallas	-	-		
DeKalb	\$250-500 for cremation or other pauper burial.	3 at \$300 each		
Elmore	County will reimburse a provider up to \$250 for the cost of the burial/cremation of a pauper.	Less than 5		
Escambia	\$900 each cremation.	12		
Etowah	The County pays for cremation at \$450 per pauper.	19		
Fayette	-	-		
Franklin	\$600	1		
Geneva	Contract with local funeral home for cremation costs of \$350	5		
Greene	-	-		
Hale	-	-		
Henry	-	-		
Houston	The county pays a private entity \$450 per pauper burial.	6		
Jackson	Pay when and if it happens.	0		

	BURIALS				
COUNTY	METHOD OF BURIAL FOR PAUPERS	PAUPER BURIALS FUNDED IN FY 2021			
Jefferson	We have a county cemetery. The process is managed by the coroner's office with support from the General Serviced Department. The County has an administrative order that provides more detail.	124			
Lamar	County charges \$1000 per burial.	0			
Lauderdale	County charges \$750 per burial.	8			
Lawrence	County contracts with a company for an annual cost of \$500.	1			
Lee	County charges \$150 per burial.	18			
Limestone	-	-			
Lowndes	-	-			
Macon	-	-			
Marengo	-	-			
Marion	-	-			
Marshall	\$350 per indigent. Currently working to increase to \$700 due to increasing costs to funeral homes.	11			
Mobile	County contracts with a local funeral home. Cremations are \$670 and burials are \$1845.	138			
Monroe	DHR screen to meet status.	0			
Montgomery	County charges \$40 per burial.	12			
Morgan	We contract with local funeral homes for final disposition services. The fee provided is \$650.	5			
Perry	-	-			
Pickens	We work with the coroner and usually use a local funeral home.	2			
Pike	We work with local funeral homes to provide services once the deceased is verified to be indigent.	1			
Randolph	County provides \$300/burial to private funeral homes to handle to burial of paupers.	1			
Russell	Per court order signed by Judge.				
St. Clair	County receives letter of request from the County Coroner.	2			
Shelby	Per request basis. County provides a fixed amount.	1			
Sumter		-			
Talladega	-	-			
Tallapoosa	County pays up to \$500 for indigent burials.	Approx. 3 or 4			
Tuscaloosa	Statutory	Unknown			
Walker	-	-			
Washington	-	-			
Wilcox	Indigent Burial Affidavit for assistance with burial expenses. The amount paid for assistance is \$400.	1			
Winston	-	-			

COUNTY JAILS

JAIL AND CORRECTIONS					
COUNTY	DESIGNED INMATE CAPACITY	CURRENT INMATE COUNT	JAIL CONSTRUCTED	TOTAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION IF CONSTRUCTED AFTER 2000	
Autauga	138	140	2001	\$8M	
Baldwin	636	600	1992	-	
Barbour	98	84	1996	-	
Bibb	-	-	-	-	
Blount	-	-	-	-	
Bullock	-	-	-	-	
Butler	100	95	-	-	
Calhoun	510	385	1986	-	
Chambers	256	145	1950, 1992, 2020	\$5.3M	
Cherokee	147	110	1998	-	
Chilton	232	210	1999	-	
Choctaw	-	-	-	-	
Clarke	150	120	1998	-	
Clay	125-150	75	2003	\$3M	
Cleburne	-	-	-	-	
Coffee	-	-	-	-	
Colbert	-	-	-	-	
Conecuh	120	44	2001	-	
Coosa	70	45	2000	-	
Covington	246	168	Main jail constructed in 1997 with two separate additions in 2006, 2015.	\$316,132.87	
Crenshaw	80	21	2000	-	
Cullman	326	315	2000	\$16.2M	
Dale	116	88	1988	-	
Dallas	-	-	-	-	
DeKalb	325	225	2006	\$10M	
Elmore	235	285	1995	-	
Escambia	126	290	1993	-	
Etowah	865	550	Early 1990s	-	
Fayette	-	-		-	
Franklin	225	100	2007	\$7M	
Geneva	160	101	2020	\$12M	
Greene	-	-	-	-	
Hale	-	-	-	-	
Henry	50	40	1960	-	
Houston	380	350	-	-	
Jackson	190	170	1992	-	

	JAIL AND CORRECTIONS				
COUNTY	DESIGNED INMATE CAPACITY	CURRENT INMATE COUNT	JAIL CONSTRUCTED	TOTAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION IF CONSTRUCTED AFTER 2000	
Jefferson	1,075	-	1984	-	
Lamar	100	36	1984	-	
Lauderdale	325	350	1984	-	
Lawrence	90	155	1998	-	
Lee	434	380	1984; expanded 2007	\$18M	
Limestone	-	-	-	-	
Lowndes	-	-	-	-	
Macon	-	-	-	-	
Marengo	-	-	-	-	
Marion	80	127	1979	-	
Marshall	196	298	1983; addition 2001	-	
Mobile	1189	1417	1991	-	
Monroe	156	109	2003	-	
Montgomery	1200	690	1985, 2009	\$55M	
Morgan	900	600	2004, 2016	\$33.5M	
Perry	-	-	-	-	
Pickens	220	-	1999	-	
Pike	80	60	1952; new jail being built (2023)	\$45M	
Randolph	250	80	2021	\$11M	
Russell	500	300	1991; 1993	-	
St. Clair	140	130	1986; additions in 1990s	\$36M	
Shelby	504	490	2005	\$18M	
Sumter	-	-	-	-	
Talladega	-	-	-	-	
Tallapoosa	150	130	1995	-	
Tuscaloosa	570	711	1996	-	
Walker	-	-	-	-	
Washington	-	-	-	-	
Wilcox	64	41	2001	-	
Winston	100	102	2012	\$10,464,000	

JAIL AND CORRECTIONS				
COUNTY	COURT ORDER TO BUILD NEW JAIL	WORK RELEASE PROGRAM	COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PROGRAM	JAIL STORE
Autauga	No	Yes	No	No
Baldwin	No	No	Yes	Yes
Barbour	No	No	Yes	Yes
Bibb	-	-	-	-
Blount	-	-	-	-
Bullock	-	-	-	-
Butler	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Calhoun	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Chambers	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cherokee	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Chilton	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Choctaw	No	No	No	No
Clarke	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Clay	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cleburne	-	-	-	-
Coffee	-	-	-	-
Colbert	-	-	-	-
Conecuh	No	No	No	No
Coosa	No	Yes	No	No
Covington	No	Yes	No	Yes
Crenshaw	No	Yes	No	Yes
Cullman	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Dale	No	No	Yes	Yes
Dallas	-	-	-	-
DeKalb	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Elmore	No	Yes	No	Yes
Escambia	No	No	Yes	Yes
Etowah	No	No	Yes	Yes
Fayette	-	-	-	-
Franklin	No	Yes	Yes	No
Geneva	No	No	Yes	Yes
Greene		-	-	-
Hale	-	-	-	-
Henry	No	No	No	No
Houston	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Jackson	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Jefferson	No	-	-	Yes
Lamar	No	No	No	Yes
Lauderdale	No	Yes	Yes	No
Lawrence	No	Yes	No	Yes
Lee	No	Yes	No	Yes

JAIL AND CORRECTIONS				
COUNTY	COURT ORDER TO BUILD NEW JAIL	WORK RELEASE PROGRAM	COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PROGRAM	JAIL STORE
Limestone	-	-	-	-
Lowndes	-	-	-	-
Macon	-	-	-	-
Marengo	-	-	-	-
Marion	No	No	No	Yes
Marshall	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Mobile	No	Yes	Yes	No
Monroe	No	No	No	Yes
Montgomery	No	No	Yes	No
Morgan	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Perry	-	-	-	-
Pickens	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Pike	No	No	Yes	Yes
Randolph	No	No	Yes	Yes
Russell	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
St. Clair	No	No	No	No
Shelby	No	Yes	Yes	No
Sumter	-	-	-	-
Talladega	-	-	-	-
Tallapoosa	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Tuscaloosa	No	No	Yes	Yes
Walker	-	-	-	-
Washington	_	-	-	-
Wilcox	No	Yes	No	Yes
Winston	No	Yes	Yes	Yes

PUBLIC RELATIONS

SOCIAL MEDIA				
COUNTY	PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICER EMPLOYMENT	WEBSITE LINK click to access	SOCIAL MEDIA LINKS click to access	
Autauga	No	www.autaugaco.org	Facebook	
Baldwin	Yes	https://baldwincountyal.gov/	<u>Facebook</u> <u>Twitter</u> Instagram Youtube	
Barbour	No	No	No	
Bibb	-	-	-	
Blount	_	-	-	
Bullock	_	-	-	
Butler	No	No	No	
Calhoun	No	www.calhouncounty.org	Facebook	
Chambers	No	www.chamberscountyal.gov	Facebook	
Cherokee	No	www.cherokeecounty-al.gov	Facebook	
Chilton	No	www.chiltoncounty.org	No	
Choctaw	No	No	No	
Clarke	No	www.clarkecountyal.com	No	
Clay	No	No	No	
Cleburne	-	-		
Coffee	-	-	_	
Colbert	-	-	-	
Conecuh	No	www.conecuhcounty.us	Facebook	
Coosa	No	www.coosacountyal.com	No	
Covington	No	www.covcounty.com	YouTube	
Crenshaw	No	crenshawcountyalonline.com	No	
Cullman	No	www.co.cullman.al.us	<u>Facebook</u> Youtube	
Dale	No	www.dalecountyal.com	Facebook	
Dallas	-		-	
DeKalb	No	www.dekalbcountyal.us	No	
Elmore	Yes	www.elmoreco.org	<u>Facebook</u> <u>Twitter</u> <u>Youtube</u>	
Escambia	No	No	No	
Etowah	No	www.etowahcounty.org	No	
Fayette	No	www.fayettecountyalbama.com	Facebook	
Franklin	No	www.franklincountyal.org	Facebook	
Geneva	No	www.genevacountyal.gov	Yes	
Greene	-		Facebook	
Hale	-	-	-	
Henry	No	www.henrycountyal.com	-	
Houston	No	www.houstoncountyal.gov/ commission	Facebook	

SOCIAL MEDIA				
COUNTY	PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICER EMPLOYMENT	WEBSITE LINK click to access	SOCIAL MEDIA LINKS click to access	
Jackson	No	www.jacksoncountyal.gov	-	
Jefferson	Yes	www.jccal.org	<u>Facebook</u> <u>Twitter</u> <u>Instagram</u> <u>LinkedIn</u> YouTube <u>Nextdoor</u>	
Lamar	No	No	<u>Facebook</u>	
Lauderdale	No	www.lauderdalecountyal.gov	None	
Lawrence	No	www.lawrencecountyal.org	<u>Facebook</u> <u>Twitter</u>	
Lee	No	www.leeco.us	<u>Facebook</u> <u>Twitter</u>	
Limestone	-	-	-	
Lowndes	-	-	-	
Macon	-	-	-	
Marengo	-	-	-	
Marion	No	No	<u>Facebook</u>	
Marshall	No	www.marshallco.org	No	
Mobile	Yes	www.mobilecountyal.gov	<u>Facebook</u> Twitter	
Monroe	No	No	Facebook	
Montgomery	Yes	www.mc-ala.org	No	
Morgan	Yes	Preparing new site	<u>Facebook</u> <u>Twitter</u>	
Perry	-	-	-	
Pickens	No	No	No	
Pike	No	www.pikecommission.com	<u>Facebook</u>	
Randolph	No	www.randolphcountyal.gov	<u>Facebook</u>	
Russell	No	www.rcala.com	Facebook	
St. Clair	No	www.stclairco.com	-	
Shelby	No	www.shelbyal.com	-	
Sumter	-	-	-	
Talladega	-	-	-	
Tallapoosa	No	www.tallaco.com	No	
Tuscaloosa	Yes	www.tuscco.com	Facebook	
Walker	-	-	-	
Washington	-	-	-	
Wilcox	No	No	No	
Winston	No	No	No	

ANIMAL CONTROL

	LEASH LAWS				
COUNTY	COUNTY WIDE ANIMAL CONTROL PROGAM REQUIRING ANIMALS REMAIN ON PROPERTY	METHOD OF ADDRESSING NEED FOR ANIMAL POUND	ANNUAL COST OF ANIMAL POUND OPERATION		
Autauga	No	С	\$85,000		
Baldwin	Yes	A	\$1,000,000		
Barbour	No	A	\$85,069		
Bibb	-	-	-		
Blount	-	-	-		
Bullock	-	-	-		
Butler	No	D	-		
Calhoun	Yes	A	\$372,000		
Chambers	No	С	\$77,500		
Cherokee	No	A	\$240,000		
Chilton	No	С	\$60,000		
Choctaw	No	А	\$25,000		
Clarke	No	А	\$100,000		
Clay	No	С	\$60,000		
Cleburne	-	-	-		
Coffee	-	-	-		
Colbert	-	-	-		
Conecuh	No	Α	\$94,963.01		
Coosa	No	E	\$0		
Covington	No	В	\$20,000		
Crenshaw	No	С	\$24,000		
Cullman	Yes	A	\$530,000		
Dale	No	В	\$75,000		
Dallas	-	-	-		
DeKalb	No	А	\$276,000		
Elmore	No	С	\$40,000		
Escambia	No	C	\$40,000		
Etowah	Yes	A	\$1,640,697		
Fayette	-	-	-		
Franklin	No	A	\$122,000		
Geneva	No	D	-		
Greene	No	-	-		
Hale	-	-	-		
Henry	No	С	\$5,000		

A: County operates pound. B: County contracts with city to operate pound. C: County contracts with humane society to operate pound. D: County does not provide animal pound. E: Setting up an animal shelter that will not be operated by the county.

	LEASH LAWS				
COUNTY	COUNTY WIDE ANIMAL CONTROL PROGAM REQUIRING ANIMALS REMAIN ON PROPERTY	METHOD OF ADDRESSING NEED FOR ANIMAL POUND	ANNUAL COST OF ANIMAL POUND OPERATION		
Houston	Yes	В	-		
Jackson	No	В	\$15,000		
Jefferson	-	-	-		
Lamar	No	D	-		
Lauderdale	Yes	В	\$100,000		
Lawrence	No	A	\$75,000		
Lee	No	F	\$185.7 per animal; total amount varies each year		
Limestone	-	-	-		
Lowndes	-	-	-		
Macon	-	-	-		
Marengo	-	-	-		
Marion	No	G	\$30,000		
Marshall	Yes	A	\$272,000 current animal control budget		
Mobile	Yes	Α	\$1,640, 697		
Monroe	No	-	\$68,000		
Montgomery	No	С	\$800,000		
Morgan	Yes	A	\$340,000		
Perry	-	-	-		
Pickens	No	D	-		
Pike	No	В	\$15,000		
Randolph	No	Н	\$10,800		
Russell	Yes	В	\$80,000		
St. Clair	Yes	В	Contract with the City of Pell City \$130,000 annually. The County also has an Animal Control officer.		
Shelby	Yes	С	\$640,000		
Sumter	-	-	-		
Talladega	-	-	-		

A: County operates pound.

B: County contracts with city to operate pound.

C: County contracts with humane society to operate pound.

D: County does not provide animal pound.

E: Setting up an animal shelter that will not be operated by the county.

F: Contract with veterinarians to house impounded animals.

G: County contracts with an individual who owns and operates a pound on her property.

H: Nonprofit shelter funded by tobacco taxes and an annual appropriation from the general fund.

LEASH LAWS					
COUNTY	COUNTY WIDE ANIMAL CONTROL PROGAM REQUIRING ANIMALS REMAIN ON PROPERTY	METHOD OF ADDRESSING NEED FOR ANIMAL POUND			
Tallapoosa	No		\$15,000		
Tuscaloosa	Yes	J	\$605,819		
Walker	-	-	-		
Washington	-	-	-		
Wilcox	No	D	-		
Winston	No	G	\$34,000		

A: County operates pound.

B: County contracts with city to operate pound.

C: County contracts with humane society to operate pound.

D: County does not provide animal pound.

E: Setting up an animal shelter that will not be operated by the county.

F: Contract with veterinarians to house impounded animals.

G: County contracts with an individual.

H: Nonprofit shelter funded by tobacco taxes and an annual appropriation from the general fund.

I: County partners with humane society to operate pound without a contract.

J: Joint funding with municipalities.

PERSONNEL

	COUNTY WORKERS				
COUNTY	CONSTABLE	CONSTABLE METHOD OF SALARY/AMOUNT	LICENSE INSPECTOR	LICENSE INSPECTOR'S ANNUAL SALARY	
Autauga	No	-	No	-	
Baldwin	No	-	No	-	
Barbour	Several	No compensation	No	-	
Bibb	-	-	-	-	
Blount	-	-	-	-	
Bullock	-	-	-	-	
Butler	No	-	No	-	
Calhoun	No	-	No	-	
Chambers	No	-	No	-	
Cherokee	No	_	Yes	-	
Chilton	No	-	No	-	
Choctaw	Yes	No compensation	No	-	
Clarke	No	-	No	-	
Clay	No	_	No	-	
Cleburne	-	-	-	-	
Coffee	_	-	-	_	
Colbert	_	-	-	_	
Conecuh	Yes	-	No	-	
Coosa	No	-	No	_	
Covington	No	-	No	-	
Crenshaw	No	-	No	-	
Cullman	No	_	Yes	\$47,278	
Dale	-	88	Yes – business license (half of the time helps as Solid Waste Clerk)	\$32,000	
Dallas	-	-	-	-	
DeKalb	Yes	4 constables, no salary	No	-	
Elmore	Yes	The position will be abolished at the next election time; \$0 compensation.	No	-	
Escambia	No	-	No	-	
Etowah	Yes	The constable's office was abolished in January 2023.		\$45,203	
Fayette	-	-	-	-	
Franklin	Yes	\$2,250	No	-	
Geneva	No	-	No	-	
Greene	Yes	\$0	No	-	
Hale	-	-	-	_	

COUNTY WORKERS					
COUNTY	CONSTABLE	CONSTABLE METHOD OF SALARY/AMOUNT	LICENSE INSPECTOR	LICENSE INSPECTOR'S ANNUAL SALARY	
Henry	No	-	No	-	
Houston	No	-	No	-	
Jackson	No	-	No	-	
Jefferson	Yes	16 constables. Compensation processed by Circuit Clerk pursuant to Section 12-19-92 in the Alabama Code. Specific language for Jefferson County.	-	-	
Lamar	No	-	No	-	
Lauderdale	No	-	Yes	\$46,500	
Lawrence	No	-	Yes	Part-time accounts payable clerk, part-time business license inspector, part-time mobile home license inspector. Annual salary is \$52,291 for all positions.	
Lee	No	-	No	-	
Limestone	-	-	-	-	
Lowndes	-	-	-	-	
Macon	-	-	-	-	
Marengo	-	-	-	-	
Marion	Yes	No compensation	No	-	
Marshall	No	-	Yes	\$46,196.80. One person is both license inspector and solid waste inspector.	
Mobile	No	Constables do not make a salary or collect any earnings from tax money. Constables are paid from the court fees for papers they serve or tickets that they write.	Yes	\$40,452	
Monroe	Yes	Not by the county	No	-	
Montgomery	No	-	Yes	\$47,570	
Morgan	No	-	Yes	\$61,000	
Perry	-	_	-	-	

	COUNTY WORKERS				
COUNTY	CONSTABLE	CONSTABLE METHOD OF SALARY/AMOUNT	LICENSE INSPECTOR	LICENSE INSPECTOR'S ANNUAL SALARY	
Pickens	No	-	No	-	
Pike	No	-	Yes	\$35,000	
Randolph	No	-	No	-	
Russell	Yes	Compensated by State only.	Yes	\$32,960	
St. Clair	No	-	Yes	\$36,878.4	
Shelby	No	-	No	-	
Sumter	-	-	-	-	
Talladega	-	-	-	-	
Tallapoosa	No	-	No	-	
Tuscaloosa	No	-	Yes	\$72,722	
Walker	-	-	-	-	
Washington	-	-	-	-	
Wilcox	Yes	-	No	-	
Winston	Yes	Not Compensated	No	-	

	SCHOOL RESOURCE OFFICERS (SROs)			
COUNTY	DOES YOUR COUNTY PAY FOR COSTS OF SROs	METHOD OF FUNDING FOR SROs	TOTAL NUMBER OF OFFICERS FUNDED	TOTAL COSTS OF SROs
Autauga	Yes	County pays half, BOE pays another half. Paid approximately \$22/hr. Many are retired officers and stay within limit of RSA retirees (\$34,000)	5	\$165,000
Baldwin	Yes	Included in sheriff's budget.	6	\$600,000
Barbour	No	-	-	-
Bibb	-	-	-	-
Blount	-	-	-	-
Bullock	-	-	-	-
Butler	Currently working on a program.	-	-	-
Calhoun	Yes	The BOE reimburses the county the total amount of payroll and benefits.	7	\$413,000
Chambers	Yes	Two SROs that are employees of the Sheriff's Dept. We are reimbursed salaries, FICA and medical insurance for one of those.	2	\$104
Cherokee	Yes	Contract w/ BOE for this program. BOE reimburses the county for salaries, FICA, Retirement Match. County pays for all other expenses.	8	\$404,000
Chilton	Yes	Board of Education contributes \$47,000 towards SRO and county \$13,000.	3	\$39,000

	SCHOOL RESOURCE OFFICERS (SROs)			
COUNTY	DOES YOUR COUNTY PAY FOR COSTS OF SROs	METHOD OF FUNDING FOR SROs	TOTAL NUMBER OF OFFICERS FUNDED	TOTAL COSTS OF SROs
Choctaw	No	-	-	-
Clarke	No	-	-	-
Clay	No	-	-	-
Cleburne	-	-	-	-
Coffee	-	-	-	-
Colbert	-	-	-	-
Conecuh	No	-	-	-
Coosa	Yes	Commission pays 1/3, BOE pays 1/3, Sheriff's Dept. pays 1/3 of their salary.	1	\$25,000
Covington	Yes	We pay our SRO but receive an appropriation of \$175,000 from the Covington County School.	4	\$250,000
Crenshaw	No	-	-	-
Cullman	Yes	The County pays for the SROs through the Sheriff's Department Budget; Sheriff's Office reimburses for 3.	10	-
Dale	Yes	Total cost: \$293949.66 BOE portion: \$197,302.5 What BOE pays: \$115,000 – \$130,000	4	-
Dallas	-	-	-	-
DeKalb	Yes	-	8 full time 5 part time	\$300,000 SSUT \$400,000 reimbursed by County BOE

	SCHOOL RESOURCE OFFICERS (SROs)			
COUNTY	DOES YOUR COUNTY PAY FOR COSTS OF SROs	METHOD OF FUNDING FOR SROs	TOTAL NUMBER OF OFFICERS FUNDED	TOTAL COSTS OF SROs
Elmore	Yes	BOE reimburses the County for salary benefits and vehicle for the SRO's who operate under the Sheriff. Sheriff outfits SRO's and provides for all other costs.	9	\$290,000
Escambia	Yes	-	3	\$171,000
Etowah	Yes	The county pays 1/3 of the cost. The Sheriff pays 1/3 and the School Board the remaining 1/3.	13	\$827,000
Fayette	-	-	-	-
Franklin	Yes	3 total. 2 are reimbursed 100% by County school system, and 1 is reimbursed 50% by County school system.	3	\$22,500
Geneva	Yes	A regular full-time deputy is assigned as SRO.	1	\$53,000
Greene	-	-	-	-
Hale	-	-	-	-
Henry	Yes	Half of the funding is paid by the county.	3	\$75,000
Houston	Yes	The county provides SROs but the County Board of Education pays the County for this.	7	\$0
Jackson	No	-	-	-

	SCHOO	L RESOURCE OFFICE	RS (SROs)	
COUNTY	DOES YOUR COUNTY PAY FOR COSTS OF SROs	METHOD OF FUNDING FOR SROs	TOTAL NUMBER OF OFFICERS FUNDED	TOTAL COSTS OF SROs
Jefferson	Yes	The Sheriff pays but receives funding from the County Commission to do so. The funding is partially reimbursed by the Jefferson County Board of Education.	Approximately 65 officers	The contract deputies make approximately \$42,500/year for a total of \$1.3 million. The remaining are regular salaried deputies.
Lamar	No	-	-	-
Lauderdale	Yes	The county pays for 50% of the SRO officers.	15	\$151,000
Lawrence	Yes	We pay their salaries upfront and School Board reimburses.	6	School Board reimburses us up to \$200,000 per year for SRO's salaries
Lee	Yes	Funding comes from sales tax split between SRO and parks.	10	\$1.8 Million
Limestone	-	-	-	-
Lowndes	-	-	-	-
Macon	-	-	-	-
Marengo	-	-	-	-
Marion	No	-	-	-
Marshall	Yes	The County passed a car tag fee to provide for at least half of the cost of the SRO program. An amount of \$30,000 is also allocated to the SROs based upon a local act as part of distribution from TVA in lieu of taxes. The remaining budget is funded by the General Fund.	14	\$852,539.22
Mobile	No	-	-	-
Monroe	Yes	Board of Education	1	\$45,594.36

	SCHOOL RESOURCE OFFICERS (SROs)				
COUNTY	DOES YOUR COUNTY PAY FOR COSTS OF SROs	METHOD OF FUNDING FOR SROs	TOTAL NUMBER OF OFFICERS FUNDED	TOTAL COSTS OF SROs	
Montgomery	Yes	Paid from the General Fund and allocated by the sheriff.	4	Approximately \$60,000 per officer	
Morgan	No	-	-	-	
Perry	-	-	-	-	
Pickens	No	-	-	-	
Pike	Yes	The school board reimburses us for the cost to fund 2 SROs.	2	\$140,000	
Randolph	Yes	BOE reimburses \$100/day for each SRO provided by the County Sheriff.	1	\$54,000	
Russell	Yes	County pays through payroll and is reimbursed 50% by BOE.	3	\$105,938.76	
St. Clair	Yes	Municipalities and BOE help support the SROs and the County funds the remaining.	6	\$207,426.11	
Shelby	Yes	We partner with the municipalities and school system to fund SROs. We only pay a portion; schools and municipalities pay the remaining amount.	27	\$2,077,750	
Sumter	-	-	-	-	
Talladega	_	-	_	_	

	SCHOO	L RESOURCE OFFICE	RS (SROs)	
COUNTY	DOES YOUR COUNTY PAY FOR COSTS OF SROs	METHOD OF FUNDING FOR SROs	TOTAL NUMBER OF OFFICERS FUNDED	TOTAL COSTS OF SROs
Tallapoosa	Yes	2 SROs. County shares the cost with the County BOE. County pays the majority.	2	Approximately \$100K, a third of which is reimbursed by County BOE.
Tuscaloosa	Yes	County provides funding for 6 deputies.	6	\$558,000
Walker	-	-	-	-
Washington	-	-	-	-
Wilcox	Yes	The SROs are paid deputies and they are paid their regular salary. The school system then reimburses the County. They are paid biweekly based on hours worked.		\$4,966.83 per paid period
Winston	No	-	-	-

	C	OMMUNITY HEALTH	
COUNTY	COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH OFFICER PROGRAM	HOW PROGRAM OPERATES	EMPLOY OR HAVE ACCESS TO COMMUNITY HEALTH OFFICER
Autauga	No	-	No
Baldwin	No	-	No
Barbour	No	-	No
Bibb	-	-	-
Blount	-	-	-
Bullock	-	-	-
Butler	No	-	Yes
Calhoun	Yes	-	Yes
Chambers	No	-	No
Cherokee	No	-	No
Chilton	No		No
Choctaw	No	-	No
Clarke	No	-	No
Clay	No	-	-
Cleburne	-	-	-
Coffee	-	-	_
Colbert	-	-	-
Conecuh	No	-	No
Coosa	No	-	Yes
Covington	No	-	-
Crenshaw	No	-	No
Cullman	No	Wellstone	Yes
Dale	No	-	No
Dallas	-	-	-
DeKalb	Yes	A grant was received for a Mental Health Officer through Cherokee, Etowah, DeKalb (CED) Mental Health. Status of operation is unknown.	No
Elmore	No	-	No
Escambia	No	-	No
Etowah	Yes	We utilize the Alabama Department of Mental Health, but Gadsden Regional Medical Center is our designated mental health facility.	
Fayette	-	-	-
Franklin	No	-	No
Geneva	No	-	No
Greene	No	-	-
Hale	-	_	_

COMMUNITY HEALTH			
COUNTY	COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH OFFICER PROGRAM	HOW PROGRAM OPERATES	EMPLOY OR HAVE ACCESS TO COMMUNITY HEALTH OFFICER
Henry	Yes	In the early stages of the program; Training officers.	Yes
Houston	No	-	No
Jackson	No	-	No
Jefferson	No, but the Department of Health may have.	-	-
Lamar	No	-	No
Lauderdale	No	-	No
Lawrence	No	-	No
Lee	No	-	No
Limestone	-	-	-
Lowndes	-	-	-
Macon	-	-	-
Marengo	-	-	-
Marion	No	-	No
Marshall	Yes	Operated based upon Act 1994- 960. Employee is currently hired by Mountain Lakes Behavioral Healthcare.	Yes
Mobile	No	-	No
Monroe	No	-	No
Montgomery	No	-	No
Morgan	No	-	No
Perry	-	-	-
Pickens	No	-	No
Pike	No	-	No
Randolph	No	-	No
Russell	Yes	County Commission appoints 3 members to the East Alabama Mental Health Board.	Yes
St. Clair	Yes	The county does not have a mental health facility. Our officer has an office in Probate and works closely with the Probate Judge.	Yes
Shelby	Yes	Contract via Central Alabama Wellness (formerly Chilton/Shelby Mental Health).	Yes
Sumter	-	-	-
Talladega	-	-	-
Tallapoosa	No	-	No
Tuscaloosa	No	-	Yes

	COMMUNITY HEALTH				
COUNTY	COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH OFFICER PROGRAM	HOW PROGRAM OPERATES	EMPLOY OR HAVE ACCESS TO COMMUNITY HEALTH OFFICER		
Walker	-	-	-		
Washington	-	-	-		
Wilcox	Yes	The county works with the Cahaba Centre for Mental Health-Mental Retardation.	Yes		
Winston	No	-	-		

MISCELLANEOUS

	SIMPLIFIED SELLERS USE TAX	
COUNTY	PORTION OF SSUT FUNDS ALLOCATED TO LOCAL EDUCATION	PERCENTAGE ALLOCATED TO EDUCATION
Autauga	Yes	25%
Baldwin	No	-
Barbour	No	-
Bibb	-	-
Blount	-	-
Bullock	-	-
Butler	No	-
Calhoun	No	-
Chambers	-	-
Cherokee	No	-
Chilton	No	-
Choctaw	No	-
Clarke	No	-
Clay	No	-
Cleburne	-	-
Coffee	-	-
Colbert	-	-
Conecuh	No	-
Coosa	Yes	50%
Covington	No	-
Crenshaw	No	-
Cullman	Yes	30%
Dale	-	Balance of school's percentage of Resource Officers that BOE does no pay.
Dallas	-	-
DeKalb	Yes	Approximately 20% of SSUT is used f SRO. Other appropriations are made Total is between 20-25% per year.
Elmore	Yes	25%
Escambia	No	-
Etowah	No	Yes, but not annual funding. We're providing a new building for the BOE administrative offices.
Fayette	-	-
Franklin	Yes	1/3 to the Commission and the remaining 2/3 paid out to the two school systems by Department of Education percentage for countywide school taxe
Geneva	No	-
Greene	-	-
Hale	-	

SIMPLIFIED SELLERS USE TAX (SSUT)			
COUNTY	PORTION OF SSUT FUNDS ALLOCATED TO LOCAL EDUCATION	PERCENTAGE ALLOCATED TO EDUCATION	
Henry	No	-	
Houston	No	-	
Jackson	No	-	
Jefferson	-	-	
Lamar	No	-	
Lauderdale	Yes	25%	
Lawrence	No	-	
Lee	No	-	
Limestone	-	-	
Lowndes	-	-	
Macon	-	-	
Marengo	-	-	
Marion	No	-	
Marshall	No	-	
Mobile	No	-	
Monroe	No	-	
Montgomery	No	-	
Morgan	Yes	Approximately 80% per State law	
Perry	-	-	
Pickens	No	-	
Pike	No	-	
Randolph	No	-	
Russell	No	-	
St. Clair	No	-	
Shelby	Yes	50%	
Sumter	-	-	
Talladega	_	-	
Tallapoosa	Yes	25% to count BOE	
Tuscaloosa	No	-	
Walker	-	-	
Washington	-	-	
Wilcox	No	-	
Winston	Yes	25%	

LIMITED SELF-GOVERNANCE						
COUNTY	WHEN DID VOTERS APPROVE LIMITED SELF-GOVERNANCE VIA LOCAL REFERENDUM	LOCAL REFERENDUM INITIATION	ORDINANCES ADOPTED UNDER THIS AUTHORITY/ NUISANCES ADDRESSED	PREVIOUS APPROVAL BUT LATER REPEALED		
Autauga	No vote/approval	-	-	-		
Baldwin	Was on the November 2022 ballot but did not pass.	-	-	-		
Barbour	Yes	Resolution of county commission	No. The Commission attempted once before, but the backlash was too severe.	No		
Bibb	-	-	-	-		
Blount	-	-	-	-		
Bullock	-	-	-	-		
Butler	-	-	-	-		
Calhoun	No vote/approval	-	-	-		
Chambers	No vote/approval	-	-	-		
Cherokee	-	Resolution of county commission	Yes	Sewer Ordinance, Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance		
Chilton	-	-	-	-		
Choctaw	-	-	-	-		
Clay	-	-	No	No		
Cleburne	-	-	-	-		
Coffee	-	-	-	-		
Colbert	-	-	-	-		
Conecuh	-	-	-	-		
Coosa	-	-	-	-		
Covington	-	-	-	-		
Crenshaw	-	-	-	-		
Cullman	-	-	-	No		
Dale	-	-	-	-		
Dallas	-	-	-	-		
DeKalb	2006	Resolution of county commission	No	No		
Elmore	2014	Citizen petition	No	Yes, 2018		
Escambia	-	-	-	-		
Etowah	-	-	No	No		
Fayette	-	-	-	-		
Franklin	No vote/approval	-	-	-		

LIMITED SELF-GOVERNANCE						
COUNTY	WHEN DID VOTERS APPROVE LIMITED SELF-GOVERNANCE VIA LOCAL REFERENDUM	LOCAL REFERENDUM INITIATION	ORDINANCES ADOPTED UNDER THIS AUTHORITY/ NUISANCES ADDRESSED	PREVIOUS APPROVAL BUT LATER REPEALED		
Geneva	November 4, 2008	Commission resolution	No	No		
Greene	-	-	-	-		
Hale	-	-	-	-		
Henry	_	-	-	-		
Houston	No vote/approval	-	-	-		
Jackson	-	-	-	-		
Jefferson	-	-	-	-		
Lamar	-	-	-	-		
Lauderdale	-	-	No	No		
Lawrence	_	-	-	-		
Lee	2008	Resolution of county commission	Yes/Noise	No		
Limestone	-	-	-	-		
Lowndes	-	-	-	-		
Macon	-	-	-	-		
Marengo	-	-	-	-		
Marion	-	-	-	-		
Marshall	2007	Resolution of county commission	Yes/Junk	Yes		
Mobile	-	-	-	-		
Monroe	-	-	-	-		
Montgomery	-	-	No	No		
Morgan	_	-	-	-		
Perry	-	-	-	-		
Pickens	-	-	-	-		
Pike	-	-	-	-		
Randolph	-	-	-	-		
Russell	No vote/approval	-	-	-		
St. Clair	No vote/approval	-	-	-		
Shelby	-	-	-	-		
Sumter	-	-	-	-		
Talladega	-	-	-	-		
Tallapoosa	-	-	-	-		
Tuscaloosa	June 16, 2010	Resolution of county	Yes/Junk, Animal Control	No		
Walker	-	-	-	-		
Washington	-	-	-	-		
Wilcox	-	-	-	-		
Winston	_	-	-	-		